Administratively

* Overleaf
* Running list of proposed analyses
  + Add to this.
* Monday
  + Henrique
    - Up to 4 hour
  + Yulia
    - Up to 4 hours
* Tuesday
  + Henrique
    - Up 8 h.
  + Jeffrey
    - Up 3h
  + Yulia
    - Up to 8 hours
* Wednedsay
  + Jeffrey
    - ~ 8 hours
  + Yulia
    - 5 hours
* Thursday
  + Jeffrey
    - ~ 7 hour
  + Henrique
  + Yulia
    - 5 hours
* Friday
  + Press the button at worst case 5pm.

**Writing / economic intuition – Jeffrey / Yulia.**

* **Jeffrey to write in this stuff.**
* What is the thought experiment 🡪 will inform what we want to show
  + Why pairwise? **SF** <-🡪 San Jose or just **SF**?
* Use s\_i?
  + “because Google is here….”
  + Seems more consistent with // **demand for labor is “compositionally stable. “** …. firms work on fixed demand schedules …?
* Just work-place destination
* Does this tell us stuff about industries?
* Integrate intuition with model. Integrate assumptions with writing. [don’t post rationalize it] … these assumptions rationalize this quantification …. Then we think what that means for workers.
* We understand AV as a time saving technology, so will think of this as “saving commute time” … or “adding work time”.
* Land grants IV….
* Twice the productivity discount.
* *Make it clear what the partial equilibrium exercise does.*
* Intro
* Literature
  + Lit Review. Yulia.
    - Commuting
    - Spatial Inequality
    - AVs

**Theory – Jeffrey/Henrique**

* Relaxing trade **– Jeffrey to paste in.**
* Two-types model **[look at CNR] – Jeffrey.** 
  + Could do a little Lit review
  + In low and high
  + .. Could look
  + Externalities ..
  + Welfare gains
    - (going to be same for everyone)

**Results -- Henrique / Yulia**

* End of day Wednesday?
* Labor / residents.
* Other Counterfactual
  + Just change in 10 counties with the highest college education share, with over million people.
    - [how much of the total gains you get from just doing it in a few]
* General
  + Hat- L\_i
  + Hat R\_n
  + Hat pi\_{nn}
  + Trade flows?
  + Hat density
  + Hat lambda\_{nn}
  + Hat Real wages
  + [losses from agglomeration?]
    - More varieties = (utility agglomeration)
    - Average costs will be lower (…)
* Rhetorical point.
  + Maybe this doesn’t matter that much. That’s interesting though.

**To-dos**

* Jeffrey: Do new counterfactual first.
* Jeffrey: Save the land areas.

**Results -- Henrique / Yulia**

**Theory – Jeffrey/Henrique**

**Writing/ Intuition - Jeffrey / Yulia.**